IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 4280 of 1995

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE JAYANT PATEL

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

SHARDABEN WD/O HARMANBEN NARSINHBHAI

Versus

DIRECTOR OF PENSION AND PROVIDENT FUND

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 4280 of 1995

MR HM PARIKH for Petitioner No. 1

MR SIRAJ GHORI, Ld. Assistant Governmetn Pleader for Respondent No. 1-3

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE JAYANT PATEL

Date of decision: 19/12/2002

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Pursuant to the order dated 18-12-2002, today Mr. Ghori, learned Assistant Government Pleader places on record the communication dated 18-12-2002 stating that the pension is already paid including the difference to Smt. Shardaben H. Patel and he further states that Smt. Shardaben has expired on 17-4-2001.

Mr. Parikh for the petitioner presses the matter for interest on the ground that the pension has not been paid in time. However, when the petitioner herself has expired and no sufficient material is produced on record, hence such request cannot be considered and is rejected.

In that view matter since payment of pension is already made nothing survives in the matter. Hence the petition is disposed of as infructuous. The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(Jayant Patel, J.)
/malek